

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,556	07/29/2003	Andreas Eleftheriou	9-2993-486US	1804
32292	7590 04/06/2005		EXAM	INER
	ENAULT (PWC)		KIM, TA	AE JUN
1981 MCGIL SUITE 1600	LL COLLEGE AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	L, QC H3A 2Y3		3746	
CANADA			D. TT. MALL ED. 04/06/200	_

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/628,556	ELEFTHERIOU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ted Kim	3746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 F	<u>-ebruary 2005.</u>					
·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	, —					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	_					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination	er					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/4/04 & 1/15/04</u> is/ai		d to by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	n-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		ray or (i).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	prity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/03, 01/05, 7/03.) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/628,556 Page 2

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I in the reply filed on 02/16/2005 is acknowledged.

Drawings

- 2. The drawings were received on 11/04/2004. These drawings are objected to as they were not labeled as "replacement drawings".
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "85" has been used to designate both the probe boss (paragraph 0040) and the annular inner wall (paragraph 0042). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Interpretation

4. Applicant's definitions of "integral", "integrally" and "integrated" are used when applying the art below.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,556

Art Unit: 3746

"[0014] It should be noted that the terms of "integral", "integrating" and "integrated" used throughout the text of this application and appended claims, are intended to mean items which are integrally joined such that disassembly (in a typical non-destructive sense) is not possible."

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 12, 13, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as being anticipated by Springer (6,532,731). Springer teaches a casing for a turbofan engine, the engine including at least a fan assembly 66a, a compressor assembly 46, a combustor assembly 47 and a turbine assembly 48, the casing comprising: a fan case portion; an intermediate case portion; and a gas generator case portion, wherein the fan case portion, the intermediate case portion and the gas generator case portion are integrally joined together 42, thereby forming an integral casing 42, a turbofan engine for an aircraft comprising: a rotating assembly including a propulsive fan portion 66a, a compressor portion 46, and a gas generator portion 47, the rotating assembly having an axial length;

Application/Control Number: 10/628,556

Art Unit: 3746

and a generally tubular casing 42 assembly enveloping the rotating assembly substantially along the axial length thereof and thereby defining a main flow path through the engine, wherein the casing assembly 48 is an integrated single piece of the same material. The casing assembly further comprises a integral shroud section encircling a plurality of compressor blade tips of the compressor portion.

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stuart (4,790,133) in view of either Davies et al (3,720,060) or Springer (6,532,731). Stuart teaches a casing for a turbofan engine, the engine including at least a fan assembly 62, a compressor assembly 18, a combustor assembly 16 and a turbine assembly 22, the casing comprising: a fan case portion 54; an intermediate case portion 54; and a gas generator case portion 14, wherein the fan case portion, the intermediate case portion and the gas generator case portion appear integrally joined together, thereby forming an integral casing, a bypass turbofan engine comprising: at least a fan 62; a compressor 18, and a gas generator 16 disposed in flow series within the engine, and a bypass airflow defined around at least the compressor 16 and gas generator

Art Unit: 3746

16; and what appears to be a one-piece casing substantially encasing the fan, compressor and gas generator; a turbofan engine for an aircraft comprising: a rotating assembly including a propulsive fan portion 62, a compressor portion 18, and a gas generator portion 16, the rotating assembly having an axial length; and a generally tubular casing assembly 54, 14 enveloping the rotating assembly substantially along the axial length thereof and thereby defining a main flow path through the engine, wherein the casing assembly 54 and 14 are each a single piece but it is not clear whether 50 is welded or otherwise rigidly fixed to both 54 and 14 to make an integrated single piece. Davies et al teach a bypass gas turbine fan where the exit vanes 14 are integrally attached to both the fan case 13 and the inner hub 41. Udall et al teach a bypass gas turbine fan where the frame 34 is integrally attached to both the fan case 18 and inner case 36 (col. 4, lines 1+). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the exit vanes 50 integrally with both the fan shroud and the core engine shroud 14, as taught by either Davies or Springer, in order to make a rigid and/or stronger attachment in which case the end result is an integral/one piece casing. The compressor shroud is also part of the integral casing. For claim 5, the individual fan case portion, the intermediate case portion and the gas generator case portion are fabricated individually and welded together is a product by process limitation. It is noted that the patentability of these claims is determined on the basis of the product formed and not the method by which it is produced Ex parte Junger, 18 USPQ2d 1796 (BPAI 1991).

"Patentability of claim to apparatus does not rest merely on difference in method by which apparatus operates or produces product; rather, it is apparatus itself that must be new and unobvious; however, if claim contains structural limitations sufficient to distinguish claim from prior art and meet novelty and nonobviousness requirements, addition of further process limitations does not preclude patentability."

9. Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 11-13, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Udall et al (5,409,184) in view of Stuart (4,790,133). Udall et al teach a casing for a turbofan engine, the engine including at least a fan assembly 12, a compressor assembly 24, a combustor assembly 28 and a turbine assembly 30, the casing comprising: a fan case portion 18; an intermediate case portion 18; and a gas generator case portion 14, wherein the fan case portion, the intermediate case portion and the gas generator case portion are shown in sections and appear to be integrally joined together, thereby forming an integral casing, a bypass turbofan engine comprising: at least a fan, a compressor, and a gas generator disposed in flow series within the engine, and a bypass airflow defined around at least the compressor and gas generator; and what appears to be a one-piece casing 18, 14 substantially encasing the fan, compressor and gas generator; a turbofan engine for an aircraft comprising: a rotating assembly including a propulsive fan portion, a compressor portion, and a gas generator portion, the rotating assembly having an axial length; and a generally tubular casing assembly enveloping the rotating assembly substantially along the axial length thereof and thereby defining a main flow path through Application/Control Number: 10/628,556

Art Unit: 3746

Page 7

the engine, wherein the casing assembly appears to be an integrated single piece 18, 14. Udall specifically teaches the casing portions 18 and 14 are integrally joined by the frame (col. 4, lines 1+). Udall does not specifically teach the portions of the core engine shroud 14 (compressor, combustor/gas generator) are integrally joined. However, integrally joining is well known in the art as suggested by Stuart who shows an integral core engine shroud 14 for the compressor and combustor/gas generator. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to fabricate the portions of Udall et al separately and join them for the integral assembly, in order to create a rigid and/or strong assembly. As for making the integral casing portions of the same material, this is within the ordinary skill in the art as an obvious matter of employing the workable materials used in the art and/or for consistency in thermal expansion properties.

10. Claims 3, 4, 9, 10, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Udall et al (5,409,184) in view of Stuart (4,790,133) or Stuart (4,790,133) in view of Davies et al (3,720,060) or Springer (6,532,731), as applied above, and further in view of Allen et al (6,109,022). The prior art do not teach an integral bearing mount portion configured to provide integral damping to a shaft bearing. Allen et al teach an integral bearing mount portion 29, 36, 38 configured to provide integral damping via resilient member 36 to a shaft bearing 40. Allen specifically teaches making portions of 36, i.e. 45 and 34 either unitary or separate items (col. 3, lines 46+). Hence, this is teaching of the equivalence of making unitary/integral or separate. It would have been

obvious to make the entire bearing mount portion integral/unitary as being within the ordinary skill in the art for simplification and/or as an equivalent structure.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Ted Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-4829. The Examiner can be reached on regular business hours before 5:00 pm, Monday to Thursday and every other Friday.

The fax numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are 703-872-9306 for Regular faxes and 703-872-9306 for After Final faxes.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler, can be reached on 571-272-4834.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist of Technology Center 3700, whose telephone number is 703-308-0861. General inquiries can also be directed to the Patents Assistance Center whose telephone number is 800-786-9199. Furthermore, a variety of online resources are available at http://www.uspto.gov/main/patents.htm

m		
Ted Kim	Telephone	571-272-4829
Primary Examiner	Fax (Regular)	703-872-9306
April 4, 2005	Fax (After Final)	703-872-9306
Technology Center 3700 Receptionist	Telephone	703-308-0861
Patents Assistance Center	Telephone	800-786-9199